

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/362,126	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. G. Lauchman	2877	

All Participants:

 (1) L. G. Lauchman.

 (2) M. Kraus.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 9/12/2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In Claim 19, line 3, the word "detects" has been replaced with --detectors--;
in line 4, "for" has been deleted.

In Claim 19, lines 4 and 8, the phrase "Beam Detection Position Control" has been replaced with the small letter case -
-beam detection position control--.

In Claim 22, line 4, "Variable Position" has been replace with the small letter case --variable position-- , lines 8 and 11,
"Fixed Position" has been replace with the small letter case --fixed position-- , line 12 "Position Control" has been
replace with the small letter case --position control--..